



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/934,082 | 08/22/2001 | Nicholas Alan Timothy Colford | 045636-5050 | 7370 | |
| 9629 | 7590 01/04/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE | | |
| 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3727 | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | I a Produce No. | I A - I I A - A | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/934,082 | COLFORD ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Robin A. Hylton | 3727 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with th | ne correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this ap (1) a timely filed amendment | plication. A proper reply to a which places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR F | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount o ned statutory period for reply originally se | f the fee. The appropriate extension fee under et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 December 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require fur | ther consideration and/or searc | ch (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand | celing a corresponding number | of finally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | · | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | lld be allowable if submitted in | a separate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because: | | onsidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | pecause it is not directed SOLE | LY to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | · , , | • | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | rs: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-15</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a | • | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem 10. Other: | nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s | 5). | | | |

ROBIN A. HYLTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/934,082

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The sleeve having a diameter and being restricted by the elastic bands is a new issue for consideration of the claimed invention.